Tetrahedron Letters, Vol. 30, No. 18, pp 2371-2374, 1989 Printed in Great Britain 0040-4039/89 \$3.00 + .00 Pergamon Press plc

TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF (±)-SILPHIPERFOL-5-EN-3-OL

Joachim Brendel and Peter Weyerstahl<sup>\*</sup> Institut für Organische Chemie, Technische Universität Berlin Straße des 17. Juni 135, D-1000 Berlin 12

Abstract: Silphiperfol-5-en-3-ol (<u>1</u>) has been synthesized in five steps starting with enone <u>4</u> in an overall yield of 30%. Key step is a TMSC1 assisted 1,4-addition of the Grignard reagent of acetal <u>5</u> to <u>4</u>.

Within a screening program of odoriferous plants from wild sources of the Kashmir region of India<sup>1</sup> we recently isolated the new sesquiterpene alcohol (-)-silphiperfol-5-en-3-ol (<u>1a</u>) as a constituent (~3%) from the essential oil of <u>Artemisia laciniata</u> together with small amounts of its epimer <u>1b</u>.<sup>2,3</sup> Since the isolated sample showed a very pleasant odour we were interested in a synthesis of <u>1a</u> to find out whether the observed odour really belongs to <u>1a</u> or to any unseparated concomitant.

<u>a</u>:  $R^1 = Me$ ,  $R^2 = H$ <u>b</u>:  $R^1 = H$ ,  $R^2 = Me$ 

A convenient starting material is the enone  $\underline{4}$ , also used in the synthesis of silphiperfol-6-ene<sup>4</sup> and retigeranic acid.<sup>5</sup> The shortest way to obtain racemic  $\underline{4}^6$  consists in alkylation of the pyrrolidine enamine prepared from 2-methylcyclopentanone ( $\underline{2}$ ) with 3-bromobutanone<sup>7</sup> to give diketone  $\underline{3}$ . Subsequent treatment with KOH/EtOH results in cyclization and double bond migration to furnish a mixture of  $\underline{4}$  and its epimer (85:15) separable by flash chromatography.

Key step of the synthesis is the 1,4-addition of the Grignard reagent of  $\underline{5}$  to enone  $\underline{4}$ . The Grignard compound can be prepared from the corresponding iodo acetal  $\underline{5}$ , usual Mg turnings and 1,2-dibromoethane as entrainer.<sup>8</sup> Since the yield is modest (30-40%) due to radical coupling (Wurtz) and disproportionation, a large excess of  $\underline{5}$  is needed. However, this disadvantage is compensated by the fact that the required iodo acetal  $\underline{5}$  can be prepared (yield 92%) easily from commercially available tiglic aldehyde by a one-pot procedure.<sup>9</sup>



a) pyrrolidine, benzene, Dean-Stark trap, 16 h; b) MeCOCHBrMe, toluene, 2 h reflux; then  $H_2O$ , 2 h reflux; c) KOH, EtOH,  $H_2O$ , 2 h reflux; d) 7.7 eq. of <u>5</u>, Mg/BrCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Br, ether, HMPA or TMEDA, TMSC1, CuBr.Me<sub>2</sub>S, -78°C, 20 h; e) HCl, acetone, r.t., 5 d; f) p-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>OC(S)Cl, pyridine, THF, r.t., 16 h; g) 190°C, 18 Torr; h) LAH, r.t., 30 min; i) L-Selectride<sup>®</sup>, -78°C  $\rightarrow$  r.t.; NaOH,  $H_2O_2$ .

Our first attempts to effect the conjugate addition were disappointing. Under usual conditions (CuBr.Me<sub>2</sub>S, ether/Me<sub>2</sub>S,  $-78^{\circ}C \rightarrow 0^{\circ}C$ ) we obtained exclusively 1,2-addition followed by spontaneous dehydration. In the last years several groups reported a drastically improved efficiency of conjugate addition in the presence of TMSCl and nucleophilic addends such as HMPA<sup>10</sup> or TMEDA.<sup>11</sup> Under such conditions we obtained the 1,4-adduct <u>6</u> together with varying amounts of its silyl enol ether, but without 1,2-adduct. In the best run we were able to isolate 66% of <u>6</u> as a complex mixture of diastereoisomers. Acidic hydrolysis of this product with concomitant cyclization led to the aldol <u>7</u>, which was dehydrated by thermolysis of the corresponding p-tolyl thionocarbonate<sup>4</sup> to give the two epimeric ketones <u>8a,b</u>.<sup>12</sup>

Now, the last step was to achieve stereoselective reduction. The use of LAH afforded the undesired thermodynamically more stable alcohol  $\underline{9a}, \underline{b}^{13}$  predominantly (selectivity 95:5). But reduction with L-selectride occurred

from the less hindered  $\alpha$ -face exclusively (selectivity 99:1) to provide <u>1a</u> along with its epimer <u>1b</u>, identical in all spectral data<sup>14</sup> with the natural compounds.

In summary, the total synthesis of silphiperfol-5-en-3-ol (<u>1</u>) has been accomplished in 30% overall yield from the known enone <u>4</u>. The olfactive properties of <u>1</u> and related compounds are currently under investigation and will be reported elsewhere. An enantioselective synthesis of  $(-)-\underline{1a}$  is in progress.

Acknowledgement: J. B. is grateful to the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for a grant.

References and Notes

- P. Weyerstahl, H. Marschall-Weyerstahl, M. Schröder and V. K. Kaul, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1988, 917.
- a) First isolation of the parent hydrocarbons 7α- and 7β-silphiperfol--5-ene: F. Bohlmann and J. Jakupovic, Phytochemistry <u>1980</u> (19) 259, and
  b) synthesis: P. A. Wender and S. K. Singh, Tetrahedron Lett. <u>1985</u> (26) 5987.
- P. Weyerstahl, M. Schröder and V. K. Kaul, lecture presented at the 19th International Symposium on Essential Oils and Other Natural Products, Dübendorf-Greifensee, Switzerland, September 7-10, 1988.
- 4. L. A. Paquette, R. A. Roberts and G. J. Drtina, J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>1984</u> (106) 6690.
- 5. a) J. Wright, G. J. Drtina, R. A. Roberts and L. A. Paquette, J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>1988</u> (110) 5806. b) T. Hudlicky, L. Radesca-Kwart, L.-q. Li and T. Bryant, Tetrahedron Lett. <u>1988</u> (29) 3283.
- L. A. Paquette in "Topics in Current Chemistry", Vol. 119, ed. F. L. Boschke, Springer, Berlin 1984, p. 129.
- 7. J. R. Catch, D. F. Elliot, D. H. Hey and E. R. H. Jones, J. Chem. Soc. <u>1948</u>, 272.
- 8. Y.-H. Lai, Synthesis 1981, 585.
- 9. Analogous to the procedure used for acrolein by G. L. Larson and R. Klesse, J. Org. Chem. <u>1985</u> (50) 3627. MeCH=C(Me)CHO <u>1. TMSCl, NaI, MeCN, r.t., 15 min; <u>5</u> 2. HOCH<sub>2</sub>CMe<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH, r.t., 30 min</u>
- Y. Horiguchi, S. Matsuzawa, E. Nakamura and I. Kuwajima, Tetrahedron Lett. <u>1986</u> (27) 4025.
- 11. C. R. Johnson and T. J. Marren, Tetrahedron Lett. 1987 (28) 27.
- 12. The silphiperfol-5-en-3-ones <u>**8a**</u>, <u>**b**</u> have also been isolated from the essential oil of <u>**A**</u>. <u>laciniata</u> as trace components. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the natural and synthesized ketones are identical.

**<u>8a</u>:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 1.00$  (s, 4-Me), 1.01, 1.09 (2 d,  $\underline{J} = 7$ Hz, 7-, 9-Me, 1.61 (dd,  $\underline{J} = 1.5$ ; 1.5 Hz, 6-Me), 1.90, 2.67 (ABd,  $\underline{J} = 17.5$ and 1.5 resp. 9.5 Hz, 2-H<sub>2</sub>), 2.75 (q, br.,  $\underline{J} = 7$  Hz, 7-H), 4.94 (q, br.,  $\underline{J} = 1.5$  Hz, 5-H). — <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, C-1 — C-15):  $\delta = 44.6$  (d), 42.5 (t), 221.1 (s), 62.5 (s), 129.0 (d), 145.8 (s), 52.3 (d), 64.0 (s), 43.6 (d), 34.7 (t), 33.7 (t), 15.7, 19.1, 13.3, 14.7 (4 q). **<u>8b</u>:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 1.03$  (s, 4-Me), 1.00, 1.09 (2 d,  $\underline{J} = 7$ Hz, 7-, 9-Me), 1.72 (d,  $\underline{J} = 1.5$  Hz, 6-Me), 1.87, 2.74 (ABd,  $\underline{J} = 18.5$  and 6 resp. 10 Hz, 2-H<sub>2</sub>), 2.34 (q,  $\underline{J} = 7$  Hz, 7-H), 4.98 (q, br.,  $\underline{J} = 1.5$  Hz,

5-H).  $-\frac{13}{C}$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, C-1 - C-15):  $\delta = 54.1$  (d), 44.3 (t), 221.1 (s), 63.6 (s), 127.9 (d), 147.1 (s), 55.1 (d), 65.6 (s), 43.4 (d), 34.7 (t), 28.8 (t), 18.3, 21.2, 16.0, 15.4 (4 q).

13. <u>9a</u>: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 0.96$  (s, 4-Me), 0.95, 0.98 (2 d, <u>J</u> = 7 Hz, 7-, 9-Me), 1.59 (dd, <u>J</u> = 1.5; 1.5 Hz, 6-Me), 2.60 (q, br., <u>J</u> = 7 Hz, 7-H), 3.73 (dd, <u>J</u> = 11; 6 Hz, 3-H), 5.17 (q, br., <u>J</u> = 1.5 Hz, 5-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, C-1 - C-15):  $\delta = 46.8$  (d), 38.9 (t), 79.9 (d), 59.1 (s), 127.1 (d), 143.4 (s), 51.4 (d), 63.5 (s), 43.3 (d), 35.3 (t), 34.8 (t), 18.3, 19.8, 13.4, 14.8 (4 q).

**<u>9b</u>**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 1.01$  (s, 4-Me), 0.93, 0.97 (2 d,  $\underline{J} = 7$ Hz, 7-, 9-Me), 1.70 (d,  $\underline{J} = 1.5$  Hz, 6-Me), 2.24 (q,  $\underline{J} = 7$  Hz, 7-H), 3.72 (dd,  $\underline{J} = 11$ ; 7 Hz, 3-H), 5.19 (q, br.,  $\underline{J} = 1.5$  Hz, 5-H). — <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, C-1 — C-15):  $\delta = 58.9$  (d), 38.6 (t), 80.0 (d), 60.1 (s), 126.8 (d), 145.0 (s), 56.0 (d), 62.5 (s), 43.6 (d), 35.1 (t), 29.5 (t), 20.1, 20.9, 17.0, 15.6 (4 q).

14. <u>1a</u>: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 1.02$  (s, 4-Me), 0.97, 1.01 (2 d, <u>J</u> = 7 Hz, 7-, 9-Me), 1.54 (dd, <u>J</u> = 1.5; 1.5 Hz, 6-Me), 2.63 (q, br., <u>J</u> = 7 Hz, 7-H), 3.99 (d, br., <u>J</u> = 4.5 Hz, 3-H), 4.96 (q, br., <u>J</u> = 1.5 Hz, 5-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, C-1 - C-15):  $\delta = 52.1$  (d), 39.1 (t), 82.5 (d), 60.9 (s), 132.4 (d), 141.7 (s), 51.8 (d), 66.2 (s), 44.0 (d), 36.3 (t), 35.7 (t), 15.0, 19.6, 14.4, 14.6 (4 q).

<u>**1b**</u>: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta = 1.08$  (s, 4-Me), 0.95, 0.98 (2 d,  $\underline{J} = 7$ Hz, 7-, 9-Me), 1.66 (d,  $\underline{J} = 1.5$  Hz, 6-Me), 2.32 (q,  $\underline{J} = 7$  Hz, 7-H), 4.00 (d, br.,  $\underline{J} = 4$  Hz, 3-H), 4.97 (q, br.,  $\underline{J} = 1.5$  Hz, 5-H). — <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, C-1 — C-15):  $\delta = 64.5$  (d), 39.0 (t), 83.0 (d), 61.7 (s), 132.2 (d), 143.3 (s), 55.7 (d), 65.1 (s), 44.1 (d), 36.3 (t), 30.0 (t), 18.2, 20.1, 16.9, 15.5 (4 q).

(Received in Germany 24 February 1989)